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## Compares test from three sources:

Locally developed tests (LDT)

- Math and English
-Compass
- Math, English, and Reading
- Accuplacer
- Math, English, and Reading


## Compass

Math and English cut scores were established with the assistance of the Compass consultant.
Accuplacer
Adopted from Crafton Hills College cut-scores

- Locally Developed

Established and adjusted as part of periodic reliability and validity reporting required by the State Chancellors Office (unchanged for this study)


Note: For Reading, the LDTs and Compass are the same-1,487 (847+640) students were administered this test. Source: DataTel

| Juusdees ov Siruldeuis My |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| تhese | O Cla | s with <br> SSESSN |  |  |
| Test | Accuplacer | Locally Developed Tests (LDT) | Compass | Total |
| English | 149 | 404 | 232 | 785 |
| Reading | 104 | 104 | 97 | 305 |
| Math | 436 | 667 | 377 | 1480 |
| Total tests | 689 | 1175 | 706 | 2560 |



## Percentage of Test-Takers who Enrolled in a Placement Course



## Placement and Prerequisite Survey

 Student respondentsFaculty respondent
Analysis of student performance measures
Retention
Grades (pass-rates)

## Mixed Method Analysis Qualitative and Quantitative

# Placement and Prerequisite Surveys provided data for the qualitative analysis 

## Students were asked to respond as following:

1) Identify themselves by social security number or student ID\#.
2) Identify their class by class code.
3) Indicate their level of preparation for the course.

Distribute the survey and read the instructions to students.
Collect completed surveys.
Enter an assessment of each student's academic preparation for the class (skill level).

Instructors were asked to...

# The Scale for Rating Preparation for the class vas neasured using a selfo reported five point scale 

A) Yes, overqualified.
B) Yes, very much so.
C) Yes, but not completely.
D) Yes, but just barely.
E) No, not at all.

| Test | N | Percentage of students who indicated they were sufficiently qualified to take the course. | Percentage of students who indicate they were overqualified. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compass English (COMPENGL) | 60 | 92\% | 10\% |
| Compass Reading (S99READ) | 58 | 95\% | 10\% |
| Compass Reading (COMPREAD) | 48 | 89\% | 10\% |
| Compass Math-1 (COMPMTH1) | 60 | 90\% | 10\% |
| Compass Math-2 (COMPMTH2) | 10* | 90\%* | 30\%** |
| Locally maintained Pre-Algebra (F04PRE) | 93 | 92\% | 6.4\% |
| Locally maintained Elementary Algebra (F04ELEM) | 94 | 95\% | 11\% |
| Locally maintained intermediate Algebra (F04INT) | 58 | 91\% | 10\% |
| Locally maintained College Algebra (F04CALG) | 29 | 84\% | 12\% |
| Locally maintained English (F04ENGL) | 207 | 91\% | 13\% |
| Accuplacer Arithmetic (VACCUARITH) | 43 | 88\% | 12\% |
| Accuplacer Algebra (VACCUALG) | 42 | 91\% | 12\% |
| Accuplacer level Math (VACCUCLM) | 17* | 90\%* | 14\%* |
| Accuplacer Reading (VACCUREAD) | 40 | 91\% | 11\% |
| Accuplacer English (VACCUSENT) | 55 | 89\% | 11\% |


| Test | Student N | Percentage of faculty who indicated students were sufficiently qualified to take the course. | Percentage of faculty who indicated that students were over qualified. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compass English (COMPENGL) | 60 | 89\% | 3.7\% |
| Compass Reading (S99READ) | 58 | 88\% | 6.4\% |
| Compass Reading (COMPREAD) | 48 | 76\% | 1.7\% |
| Compass Math-1 (COMPMTH1) | 60 | 75\% | 1.7\% |
| Compass Math-2 (COMPMTH2) | 10* | 90\% | 0 |
| Locally maintained Pre-Algebra (F04PRE) | 93 | 77\% | 3.2\% |
| Locally maintained Elementary Algebra (F04ELEM) | 94 | 73\% | 7.1\% |
| Locally maintained intermediate Algebra (F04INT) | 58 | 66\% | 5.5\% |
| Locally maintained College Algebra (F04CALG) | 29 | 81\% | 7.3\% |
| Locally maintained English (F04ENGL) | 207 | 77\% | 6.7\% |
| Accuplacer Arithmetic (VACCUARITH) | 43 | 72\% | 2.3\% |
| Accuplacer Algebra (VACCUALG) | 42 | 74\% | 0\% |
| Accuplacer level Math (VACCUCLM) | 17* | 71\% | 0\% |
| Accuplacer Reading (VACCUREAD) | 40 | 75\% | 1.8\% |
| Accuplacer English (VACCUSENT) | 55 | 68\% | 6.5 |



Table 6. Comparison of Assessment Tests Over All English Courses

| Grade | Accuplacer | Locally <br> Developed | Compass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $10.7 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| B | $25.3 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ |
| C | $22.7 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ |
| D | $6.7 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ |
| F | $17.3 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ |
| I | $1.3 \%$ |  | $.8 \%$ |
| W | $16.0 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ |
|  | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Pass-rate |  |  |  |
| Retention | 58.7 | 58.3 | 51.7 |
| Missing (unoffidial drops) | $49.7 \%$ | $47.8 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ |
| N | 149 | 404 | 232 |

Source: DataTel

| Table 7. Comparison of assessment tests for English 914 <br> placement |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Accuplacer | Locally <br> Developed | Compass |
| A | $9.1 \%$ | 15.5 | $20.9 \%$ |
| B | 54.5 | 26.2 | 20.9 |
| C | 27.3 | 17.9 | 16.3 |
| D | 0.0 | 9.5 | 7.0 |
| F | 9.1 | 11.9 | 14.0 |
| I | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| W | 0.0 | 19.0 | 20.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Pass-rate | $90.1 \%$ | $59.5 \%$ | $58.1 \%$ |
| Retention | $100 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $79.1 \%$ |
| Missing (unoffidial drops) | $52.2 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ |
| N | $* 23$ | 193 | 82 |
|  | $23 / 149=15 \%$ | $193 / 404=47 \%$ | $82 / 232=35 \%$ |

(*) The $N$ for this group is too small to analyze

## Table 8. Comparison of assessment tests for English 015 placement

| Grade | Accuplacer | Locally <br> Developed | Compass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $7.7 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ |
| B | $20.5 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ |
| C | $25.6 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ | $21.2 \%$ |
| D | $10.3 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ |
| F | $15.4 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ |
| I | $2.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| W | $17.9 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Pass-rate | $53.8 \%$ | $57.3 \%$ | $48.5 \%$ |
| Retention | $82.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 0 . 0 \%}$ | $87.9 \%$ |
| Missing (unoffidal drops) | 48.7 | 40 | 51.5 |
| N | $76 / 146=52 \%$ | $184 / 404=46 \%$ | $68 / 232=29 \%$ |

## Table 9. Comparison of assessment tests for English 101 placement

| Grade | Accuplacer | Locally <br> Developed | Compass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $20.0 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | 12.9 |
| B | $20.0 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | 29.0 |
| C | $15.0 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | 9.7 |
| D | 0 | $9.1 \%$ | 3.2 |
| F | $30.0 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | 16.1 |
| I | 0 | $0 \%$ | 3.2 |
| W | $15.0 \% \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Pass-rate | $55 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ |
| Retention | $85.0 \%$ | $90.9 \%$ | $74.2 \%$ |
| Missing (unofficial drops) | 52.6 | 35.3 | 54.4 |
| N | $38 / 146=26 \%$ | ${ }^{*} 17 / 404=4 \%$ | $57 / 232=25 \%$ |

${ }^{*}$ ) The N for this group is too small to analyze

| Table 10. Comparison of Assessment Tests Over All |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reading Courses |  |  |
| Grade | Accuplacer | Compass (LDT) |
| A | $37.0 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ |
| B | $17.4 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| C | $17.4 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ |
| D | $6.5 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |
| F | $10.9 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |
| W | $0.0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Total | $10.9 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ |
|  | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Pass-rate (A-C) | $\mathbf{7 1 . 7 \%}$ |  |
| Retention | $89.1 \%$ | $49.9 \%$ |
| Missing (unoffidial drops) | $54.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 9 \%}$ |
| N | 104 | $55.6 \%$ |

## Table11. Placement-test Comparison of Assessment Tests-READ-950

| Grade | Accuplacer | Compass (LDT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 37.5 | $9.5 \%$ |
| B | $18.8 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| C | $18.8 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ |
| D | $6.3 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| F | $6.3 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ |
| I | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| W | $12.5 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |
| Pass-rate (A-C) | $75 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ |
| Retention | $87.5 \%$ | $81.0 \%$ |
| Missing (unofficial drops) | $54.9 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| N | $33 / 104=33 \%$ | $21 / 168=12.5 \%$ |

(*) The N for this group is too small to analyze

| Table 12. Comparison of Assessment Tests Over All Math Courses |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Accuplacer | Locally developed | Compass |
| A | 30.1\% | 19.0\% | 27.9\% |
| B | 19.9\% | 22.6\% | 19.4\% |
| C | 15.4\% | 16.1\% | 10.4\% |
| D | 5.3\% | 7.5\% | 7.7\% |
| F | 19.5\% | 15.3\% | 15.3\% |
| I | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| W | 9.8\% | 19.5\% | 18.9\% |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |
| Pass-rate | 65.4\% | 57.3\% | 57.7\% |
| Retention | 91.2\% | 80.5\% | 81.1\% |
| Missing (unofficial drops) | 51.4\% | 45.9\% | 43.9\% |
| N | 436 | 667 | 377 |

For math courses as a whole, Accuplacer has a higher pass-rate and retention rate than the LDT and Compass tests

## Table 13. Assessment test Comparison - Math-942

| Grade | Accuplacer | Locally <br> Developed | Compass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $21.8 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ |
| B | $26.7 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ |
| C | $15.8 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ |
| D | $7.9 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| F | $19.8 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ |
| I | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |
| W | $7.9 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Pass-rate (ACC) | $64.4 \%$ | $50.8 \%$ | $54.1 \%$ |
| Retention | 92.1 | $86.4 \%$ | 82.6 |
| Missing (unoffidial drops) | $48.2 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ |
| N | $195 / 436=45 \%$ | $122 / 667=18 \%$ | $182 / 377=48 \%$ |

Table 14. Assessment test Comparison - Math-952

| Grade | Accuplacer | Locally <br> Developed | Compass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $25.0 \%$ | $15.7 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ |
| B | $10.0 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ | $17 . \% 5$ |
| C | $25.0 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| D | $10.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| F | $15.0 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | 0.0 |
| I | 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0.0 |
| W | $15.0 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Pass-rate (ACC) | $64.4 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Retention | $85.0 \%$ | $82.8 \%$ | $87.5 \%$ |
| Missing (unoffidal drops) | $68.8 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ |


| $N$ | $64 / 436=15 \%$ | $238 / 667=36 \%$ | $95 / 377=25 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 16. Assessment test Comparison - Math-095

| Grade | Accuplacer | Locally Developed | Compass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 58.7\% | 10.3\% | *N/A |
| B | 13.0\% | 25.6\% | *N/A |
| C | 10.9\% | 23.1\% | *N/A |
| D | 2.2\% | 5.1\% | *N/A |
| F | 4.3\% | 2.6\% | *N/A |
| 1 | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | *N/A |
| W | 10.9\% | 33.3\% | *N/A |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | *N/A |
|  |  |  |  |
| Pass-rate (A-C) | 82.6\% | 59.0\% | *N/A |
| Retention | 96.1\% | 66.7\% | *N/A |
| Missing (unofficial drops) | 36 | 50 | *N/A |
| N | 82/436=19\% | 89/667=13\% | *4/377=1\% |


| Grade | Accuplacer | Locally Developed | Compass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 58.7\% | 10.3\% | *N/A |
| B | 13.0\% | 25.6\% | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| C | 10.9\% | 23.1\% | *N/A |
| D | 2.2\% | 5.1\% | *N/A |
| F | 4.3\% | 2.6\% | *N/A |
| I | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| W | 10.9\% | 33.3\% | *N/A |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | *N/A |
|  |  |  |  |
| Pass-rate (A-C) | 82.6\% | 59.0\% | *N/A |
| Retention | 96.1\% | 81.4\% | *N/A |
| Missing (unoffidial drops) | 36 | 50 | *N/A |
| N | 82/436=19\% | 89/667=13\% | * $4 / 377=1 \%$ |

$\left({ }^{*}\right)$ The $N$ for this group is too small to analyze

Table 17. Assessment test Comparison - Math-102

| Grade | Accuplacer | Locally <br> Developed | Compass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 33.3 | 20.0 | 0.0 .0 |
| B | 33.3 | 10.0 | 20.0 |
| C | 0.0 | 10.0 | 40.0 |
| D | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 |
| F | 0.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 |
| I |  |  |  |
| W | 33.3 | 20.0 | 61.5 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Pass-rate (A-C) | 66.7 |  |  |
| Retention | 66.7 | 40.0 | 60.0 |
| Missing (unoffidial drops) | 30.0 | 39.5 |  |
| N | ${ }^{*} 12 / 436=3 \%$ | ${ }^{*} 27 / 667=4 \%$ | $* 13 / 377=3 \%$ |

${ }^{(*)}$ The N for this group is too small to analyze



## Placement and Prerequisite Survey Results

 All tests demonstrated a minimum level of satisfaction with placementEnglish LDT showed a slightly higher level of agreement with the minimum level of placement
Student performance measures
All tests meet minimum criteria
Overall, Accuplacer showed the strongest passrates and retention particularly for math

